Semi-auxiliaries in French from a frames and constructions diachronic perspective Myriam Bouveret, University of Rouen-LaTTiCe CNRS

Key words: Constructions, Diachrony, Frames, French, Semi-Auxiliaries

We observe seven French semi-auxiliaries *devoir*, *falloir*, *vouloir*, *pouvoir*, *aller*, *venir*, *faire* and propose an analysis using a Frames and Constructions approach. The data question the diachronic evolution of the semi-auxiliaries constructions as a category, their collocational patterns and their grammaticalization by analogy. This grammatical and lexical motivation is combined with a semantic dynamicity of *profiling* in terms of Frames (Goldberg 2010, Gosselin 2012).

The question of auxiliarity has been discussed in French lately at the 17th century whereas, semi-auxiliaries appeared earlier in the written text as soon as the 12th century. They spread during the 14th-15th centuries and increased noticeably during the Renaissance period (16th-17th century) as proved in the data brought by the present study. Nevertherless, the limit between the notions of auxiliarity, and semiauxiliarity is not easy to draw (Lamiroy 1999). Besides the commonly accepted auxiliaries be and have since early grammars (Grévisse and Goose 2011), other auxiliaries such as devoir ('must', 'may', 'have to'), falloir ('must', 'have to'), vouloir ('want'), pouvoir ('may', 'can'), aller ('go'), venir ('come'), faire ('make') expressing mood, aspect, temporality or causation are also frequently -even if less commonly- found in the texts during our five delimited diachronic periods, Old French, Middle French, Renaissance, Classical and Modern French. Those verbs, as retrieved in our data, are attested in a cline between a plain lexical meaning towards a more grammaticalized meaning (sometimes called «vector » verbs). The next question arises then about the delimitation of the number of semi-auxiliaries. Does the set include for example verbs like commencer à ('start with') cesser de ('stop'), partir ('leave'), continuer de ('continue'), tarder à ('take time to') all denoting an aspectual phase, once combined with an infinitive? The frontier is therefore vague between Lexicon and Grammar (Gosselin 2012) and the phenomena is more efficiently described in diachrony as a Verb-to-TAM *Chain* [Verbe plein > AUX1 > AUXn > Affixe] (Hopper & Traugott 2003) expressing the evolution from Lexicon to Grammar, and as well Morphology. We present data extracted from the French database Frantext (Base textuelle FRANTEXT (INALF/ATILF-CNRS). Our diachronic data are retrieved between the 12th century (La Chanson de Roland, Anonyme, 1100) and nowadays. Following Hilpert 2008, 2012 we use this corpus ressource for a collostructional analysis, tracking the evolution of the collocational patterns of these semi-auxiliaries in French:

« Shifts (...) indicate developments in constructional meaning –as the construction changes semantically, it comes to be used with different collocates. Newly incoming collocates not only show that some change is underway; their lexical meanings further indicate how the construction changes semantically. » (Hilpert 2012:234).

References

- Bybee J. L., Perkins R. and Pagliuca W., 1994. *The evolution of grammar: Tense, aspect, and modality in the languages of the world,* University of Chicago Press.
- Hilpert, M. 2008. *Germanic future constructions: A usage-Based Approach to Language Change*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Hilpert, M. 2012. *Diachronic Collostructional analysis meets the Noun Phrase : Studying many a Noun in COHA*. In Nevalainen and Traugott, eds., The Oxford Handbook of the History of English. New York : Oxford University Press, 233-244.
- Goldberg A. E., 2010. "Verbs, Constructions and Semantic Frames", in M. Rappaport Hovav, E. Doron & I. Sichel (eds), *Lexical Semantics, Syntax, and Event Structure*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 39-58.
- Gosselin L., 2010. *Les modalités en français. La validation des représentations.* Amsterdam/New York : Rodopi.
- Gosselin L., 2012. « Les relations entre périphrases aspectuelles et conjugaisons en français », Communication orale, Université de Rouen, mars 2015.
- Grevisse et Goose, 2011 (reed.). Le bon Usage, De Boeck-Duculot
- Hopper P. and Claus-Traugott E., 2003. *Grammaticalization*, Cambridge Textbooks in Linguistics.
- Kronning, H., 1996. *Modalité, cognition et polysémie: sémantique du verbe modal 'devoir'.*Acta Universitatis Upsaliensis: Uppsala.
- Lamiroy B.. 1999. « Les auxiliaires : délimitation, grammaticalisaiton et analyse », in *Langages*. Edition A. Colin, Volume 23, 33-45.
- Liere A., 2011. *Entre lexique et grammaire : les périphrases verbales du français*. Thèse de doctorat en Sciences du Langage, Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale.
- Marcello-Nizia C., 2011. *Grammaticalisation et changement linguistique*, De Boeck, collection Champ linguistique.
- Sweetser E., 1990. From Etymology to Pragmatics, Oxford University Press.
- Traugott E. Closs and Trousdale, 2013. *Constructionalization and constructional changes*, Oxford Studies in Diachronic and Historical Linguistics.